Appendix 3 – Risk Register

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | | | Date Raised | Owner | Gross | | Current | | Residual | | Comments | Controls | | | | |
| Title | Risk Description | Opportunity/ Threat | Cause | Consequence | I | P | I | P | I | P | Control Description | Due Date | Status | Progress % | Action Owner |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Recommendation not agreed | Conservation area appraisal not endorsed | Threat | CEB does not agree the recommendation | Planning officers and  applicants will then be  without any up to date  guidance and  applications will be  determined against out  of date resources | 23/08/17 | Mark Jaggard | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Reputational risk for the  Council. Inadequate  context and evidence  base for planning  purposes may lead to  unsound planning  decisions. | The Appraisal has been  carefully produced and  amended where  inaccuracies were noted.  Consultation has been  carried out with the  involvement of the local  community. |  |  |  |  |